Before leaving the room, please check that this Protocol is filled and signed. In case a Juror mistakenly holds up a Grade which differs from their Individual Protocol, announce this immediately to the Chairperson, make a clear correction in this Science Fight protocol, and ask the Juror to sign near the correction. No Juror can amend any Grade rectroactively (*IYNT Regs*, Ch. 1, IX, 1.)

Science Fight Protocol

Attendant's name GUO Shuxiang Chairperson's name Chrisy Xiyu Du SF 2 Group A

Date Jun. 30 Time SF started 15:15 Number of Jurors 7 Name of the winner of Captain's Contest, if any _____ Time SF ended 18:04

Grades cross-checked with Jurors' Protocols (Attendant's signature) GUO Shuxiang The Protocol is complete and accepted (Chairperson's signature) _____

Captain's Contest, if any	Team:	Points:	Team:	Points:	Team:	Points:

	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
Problem in the Stage	Accepted: 14 Rejected, if any:			Accepted: 9 Rejected, if any:			Accepted: 11 Rejected, if any:		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Team →	Belarus-Pahonia	Croatia	China-Beijing RDF2	Croatia	China-Beijing RDF2	Belarus-Pahonia	China-Beijing RDF2	Belarus-Pahonia	Croatia
Presenter's Name → (ask the Team to write the Name on the blackboard or pass you the name tag)	Hanna Kazeka	Elena Lukačević	MA Haoyue	Mateja Ostojić	JIANG Mengshan	Roman Ulasonets	GUO Yuwei	~~Mark~~ Maria Krasnova	Luka Bulić Bračulj
Juror / Yellow Cards: NR, NP, NT →									
WANG Jin	15	10	8	17	~~10~~ 11	7	22	15	8
Teimuraz GACHECHILADZE	10	10	6	12	12	8	20	15	7
Mladen MATEV	11	10	7	12	12	6	13	8	7
Galina ONOPRIENKO	15	7	8	12	8	5	25	16	4
Murray CHISHOLM	10	10	7	16	10	5	17	9	5
Song Yi	14	11	7	16	13	4	25	12	6
Chrisy Xiyu DU	11	12	6	19	8	3	20	11	7

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Wang Jin Date 30/06/2017 SF 2 Group A

	Overview of Science Fight		
	Stage I	**Stage II**	**Stage III**
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14	**Accepted:** 9	**Accepted:** 11
	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:
	Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)		
Reporter	Belarus-Pahonia: HANNA KAZEKA	Croatia: Mateja Ostojic	China-Beijing RDF2 Guo Yumei
Opponent	Croatia: Ellena Luracevic.	China-Beijing RDF2 Jiang Mengshan	Belarus-Pahonia. Maria Krasnova.
Reviewer	China-Beijing RDF2 Ma Haoyue.	Belarus-Pahonia. Roman Vlasovets.	Croatia.

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	-6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	-4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	-2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	-3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	-2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	-1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	-3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	-2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	-1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	-2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	-1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	-0...+1

	Juror's Grades								
	Stage I			**Stage II**			**Stage III**		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	**15**	**10**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**5**
α	-2	-2	+1	+2	0	+1	+4	+2	+1
β	-1	-1	+1	0	+1	0	+2	+1	+0.5
γ	+2	+2	+0.5	0	0	+0.5	+0.5	+1	+0.5
δ	+1	+1	+0.5	0	0	+0.5	+0.5	+1	+1
Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade									
Juror's Grade	15	10	9	17	11	7	22	15	8
Juror's signature	Wang Jin			Wang Jin			Wang Jin		

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Teimuraz Gachechiladze Date 30.06 SF 2 Group A

Overview of Science Fight

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14	**Accepted:** 9	**Accepted:** 11
	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:
	Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)		
Reporter	Hana Kazeka Belarus-Pahonia	Mateja Ostojić CROATIA	Yuvei China-Beijing
Opponent	Elena Lukacevich Croatia	JIANG Mengshan CHINA - BEIJING	Maria krasnova Belarus-Pahonia
Reviewer	Ma Haoyue China-Beijing	Roman Vlasovets BELARUS - PAHONIA	Luka Bulić Croatia

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	-6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	-4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	-2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	-3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	-2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	-1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	-3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	-2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	-1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	-2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	-1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	-0...+1

Juror's Grades

	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
α	-2	1	0	-3	0	1	3	2	1
β	-1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	1
γ	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
δ	-1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade									
Juror's Grade	10	10	6	12	12	8	20	15	7
Juror's signature	a.ghv			a.ghv			a.ghv		

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Mladen Mater Date 30 June 2017 SF 2 Group A

Overview of Science Fight

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14-Routers > Crest	**Accepted:** 9-Salt Products	**Accepted:** 11-Grow Light
	Rejected, if any: —	Rejected, if any: —	Rejected, if any: —
	Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)		
Reporter	Belarus-Pahonia Hanna Kazeka	Croatia Mateja Ostojić	China-Beijing RDFZ Guo Yuwei
Opponent	Croatia Elena Lukačević	China-Beijing RDFZ Jiang Mengshan	Belarus-Pahonia Maria Krasnova
Reviewer	China-Beijing RDFZ Ma Haoyue	Belarus-Pahonia Roman Ulasova	Croatia Luka Bilič Braculj

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	-6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	-4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	-2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	-3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	-2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	-1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	-3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	-2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	-1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	-2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	-1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	-0...+1

Juror's Grades

	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
α	~~-3~~	0	1	-2	0	0	-2	-1	1
β	-1	1	1	0	1	1	-1	0	1
γ	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0
δ	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade									
Juror's Grade	11	10	7	12	12	6	13	8	7
Juror's signature	Mladen Mater			Mladen Mater			Mladen Mater		

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Galina Onoprienko Date 30.06.17. SF 2 Group A

Overview of Science Fight

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14	**Accepted:** 9	**Accepted:** 11
	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:

Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Reporter	HANNA KOZEKO Belarus-Pahonia	MATEJA OSTOJIC Croatia	GUO Yuwei China-Beijing RDFZ
Opponent	ELENA LUKACEVIC Croatia	JIANG MENGSHAN China-Beijing RDFZ	Maria Krasnova Belarus-Pahonia
Reviewer	MA HAOYUE China-Beijing RDFZ	ROMAN VLASOVETS Belarus-Pahonia	LUKA BRACULJ Croatia

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	–6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	–4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	–2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	–3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	–2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	–1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	–3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	–2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	–1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	–2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	–1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	–0...+1

Juror's Grades

	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
α	0	–2	+1	–3	–1	0	+3	+2	–1
β	–2	0	0	–2	+1	0	+2	+1	0
γ	+1	–1	+1	+2	–1	0	+3	+2	0
δ	+1	0	+1	0	–1	0	+2	+1	0

Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade

Juror's Grade	15	7	8	12	8	5	25	16	4
Juror's signature									

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Murray Chisholm Date 30/6/2017 SF 2 Group A

Overview of Science Fight

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14	**Accepted:** 9	**Accepted:** 11
	Rejected, if any: —	Rejected, if any: —	Rejected, if any: —
	Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)		
Reporter	Belarus - Pahonia Hanna Harzeka	Croatia Mateja Ostojic	China - Beijing Guo Yuwei
Opponent	Croatia Elena Lukacevic	China - Beijing JIANG Mengshan	Belarus - Pahonia Maria Krasnova
Reviewer	China - Beijing Ma Haoyue	Belarus - Pahonia Roman Vlasovets	Croatia Luka Bulić Bračuly

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	-6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	-4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	-2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	-3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	-2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	-1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	-3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	-2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	-1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	-2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	-1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	-0...+1

Juror's Grades

	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
α	-4	+1	0	0	-1	0	~~0~~	0	0
β	0	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	0	0
γ	-1	-1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	-1	0
δ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade									
Juror's Grade	10	10	7	16	10	5	~~17~~	9	5
Juror's signature									

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Song Yi Date 6.30 SF 2 Group A

	Overview of Science Fight		
	Stage I	**Stage II**	**Stage III**
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14	**Accepted:**	**Accepted:**
	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:
	Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)		
Reporter	Belarus HANNA	Croatia Mateja	China Guo Yuwei
Opponent	Croatia ILENA	China	Belarus Maria
Reviewer	China MA Haoyue	Belarus	Croatia, Luka.

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	–6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	–4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	–2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	–3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	–2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	–1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	–3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	–2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	–1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	–2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	–1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	–0...+1

	Juror's Grades								
	Stage I			**Stage II**			**Stage III**		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	**15**	**10**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**5**
α	0	2	+1	0	+2	–2	+3	0	+1
β	–1	+1	0	~~0~~ 0	+1	0	+2	+1	0
γ	–1	–2	0	0	0	0	+3	0	0
δ	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+2	+1	0
Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade									
Juror's Grade	14	11	7	16	13	4	25	12	6
Juror's signature	Song Yi			Song Yi			Song Yi		

Please fill clearly and sign before showing your Grades. In case of discrepancy, this Protocol is considered correct (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 2, I, 8). No Grade can be corrected retroactively (*IYNT Regulations*, Ch. 1, IX, 1). This Protocol will be scanned and published. Before leaving the Science Fight room, please cross-check your Grades with Attendant's Science Fight Protocol and pass this Protocol to Chairperson in your Group.

Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name Chrisy Xiyu Du Date Jun. 30th, 2017 SF 2 Group A

Overview of Science Fight

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Problem in the Stage	**Accepted:** 14.	**Accepted:** 9.	**Accepted:** 11
	Rejected, if any: ✓	Rejected, if any: ✓	Rejected, if any: ✓
	Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)		
Reporter	Hanna Kazeka Belarus-Pahonia	Mateja Ostojic Croatia	Guo Yuwei China-Beijing RDFZ
Opponent	Elena Lukacevic Croatia	Jiang Mengshan China-Beijing RDFZ	Maria Krasnova Belarus-Pahonia
Reviewer	Ma Haoyue China-Beijing RDFZ	Roman Vlasovets. Belarus-Pahonia.	Luka Bulic Braculj Croatia

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Validity. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Justified conclusions. *Main tasks*: novel ideas, depth. *Inv Yourself*: problem statement. *Additional*: cooperation, resourcefulness	-6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial, challenging questions. Analysis of Report. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Valid conclusions, suggestions	-4...+4	Impartial, weighted, analytical Review. Relevant, nontrivial questions. Own, justified conclusions on Report, Opposition, and discussion. Understanding of scientific context	-2...+2
β	Defending own solution. Reaction to critique. Answers	-3...+3	Full focus on Report. Active, substantial argumentation	-2...+2	Critical summarization and wrap up of the Stage	-1...+1
γ	Structured and persuasive presentation. Speaking skills	-3...+3	Structured and persuasive Opposition. Speaking skills	-2...+2	Structured and persuasive Review. Speaking skills	-1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	-2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to the Opposition, team help	-1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to the Review, team help	-0...+1

Juror's Grades

	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
α	-2	+1	+1	+2	-1	-1	+2	+1	+1
β	-1	0	-1	+1	0	-1	+2	0	+1
γ	-1	0	+1	+1	-1	0	+1	0	0
δ	0	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade								
Juror's Grade	11	12	6	19	8	3	20	11	7
Juror's signature									