

*The 8<sup>th</sup> International Young Naturalists' Tournament*

## **Opposition to the problem №2**



***Mark Kovalenko***

***Team «Element»***

***Russia, Novosibirsk***

***[chnmk@mail.ru](mailto:chnmk@mail.ru)***

## Strength sides

Said about different solution

Technical level of the presentation

More attention to experiments

## Weak sides

Quality of the experimental part:  
•Don't have error  
Different density of cord

Photo and charts not explained

Quality of theoretical research  
Don't said about cord characteristic

Don't have aim, predictions

# Analysis of the solution №2

Questions for discussion:

1. How do you assess the error in measuring the burning rate?
2. What is the density of the cord?
3. How do the experimental conditions affect the result?