

*The 8<sup>th</sup> International Young Naturalists' Tournament*

## **Review of the problem №23**

*Mark Kovalenko*

*Team «Element»*

*Russia, Novosibirsk*

[chnmk@mail.ru](mailto:chnmk@mail.ru)



# Review of the report

## Strength sides

## Weak sides

Photo and video

Technical level of the presentation

**Quality of theoretical research**

- +told about the program
- hormonal fluctuations

Graphs and formula not explained.

**Quality of the experimental part:**

- +different mass
- The mathematical pendulum is not a **natural** phenomenon
- hesitation is not a phenomenon

**Don't have aim, Hypothesis, predictions**

there was no quantitative parameter, although formulas were presented

# Review of the opponent

## Strength sides

**Quality of comments on the advantages() and disadvantages( this is not a natural phenomenon) of the report**

## Weak sides

**Active participation in the polemic**

**Quality and depth of questions:**  
\*conclusions  
\* a natural phenomenon

Didn't say any specifics

## Conclusion

**Problem solved partially**

**The polemic was one-sided by the speaker**