


24. OSCILLATIONS IN WATER

Team Croatia
Reviewer: Marita Machata



REPORTER

- written theory - no correlation with their experiment
- No explanation of formulas and link with the experiment
- No hypotheses - could have been made based on formulas
- **No explanation of analysis** (used programm to conduct results)
- no shown repetitions, changing parameter
- no conclusions made from the graph
- could have even proposed hypothesis based on his theory



OPPONENT

- Didn't question conducted research just possible change
- Suggested changing variables in the clarifying questions
- Noticed wrong formula
 - from which the results were derived
- Noticed lack of hypotheses
- Skipped important errors
 - repetitions, lack of control
-

DISCUSSION

- Discussed already said incorrect formula
 - opponent suggested that whole experiment is wrong because results were based o
 - said that there was no conclusion
 - looked like clarifying questions and led to no conclusion

opponent was pointing out errors the whole discussion but derived no conclusions from it - discussion?

THANK YOU!

Team Croatia
Reviewer: Marita Machata

